

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT

SUFFOLK, ss.

OE-144

In Re: COVID-19 (Coronavirus) Pandemic

FOURTH UPDATED ORDER
REGARDING COURT OPERATIONS UNDER THE EXIGENT
CIRCUMSTANCES CREATED BY THE COVID-19 (CORONAVIRUS) PANDEMIC

To safeguard the health and safety of the public and court personnel during the COVID-19 (coronavirus) pandemic while continuing to increase the business being conducted by the courts, the Supreme Judicial Court (SJC), pursuant to its superintendence and rule-making authority, issues the following ORDER:

1. Prior order. Effective September 17, 2020, this Order shall repeal and replace the Third Updated Order Regarding Court Operations Under The Exigent Circumstances Created By The COVID-19 (Coronavirus) Pandemic, which was issued on June 24, 2020, and took effect on July 1, 2020 (July 1 Order).

2. Conduct of court business and access to courthouses. Courthouses will continue to be physically open to the public for certain purposes, as generally outlined in this Order, and operated with diligent regard for the health and safety of court users and personnel, in accordance with protocols established by the Trial Court or the relevant appellate court, as applicable. To limit the number of persons entering courthouses, all courts will continue to conduct most court business virtually (i.e., by telephone, videoconference, email, or comparable means, or through the electronic filing system), in both civil and criminal cases. In cases with one or more self-represented litigants (SRLs) where a court is scheduling a videoconference, courts will recognize the possibility that SRLs may have limited access to the technology needed to conduct videoconferences or limited experience with it, and will either assist the SRL in being able to conduct a videoconference or offer an alternative to videoconferencing for the virtual hearing.

3. Certain proceedings conducted in person. Trial Court departments shall continue to conduct in-person proceedings in emergency and non-emergency matters that either can be handled more effectively or efficiently in person, or cannot be handled virtually because a virtual proceeding is not practicable or would be inconsistent with the protection of constitutional rights. Each Trial Court department shall post notices to the "Court System Response to COVID-19" webpage (<https://www.mass.gov/guides/court-system-response-to-covid-19>) (COVID-19 webpage) (see paragraph 16) that provide clear department-wide guidance to the public and members of the bar identifying the categories of matters that it will address in person. Courts will conduct all other emergency and non-emergency matters virtually, except as provided in paragraph 7 below.

The Chief Justice of a Trial Court department, after consultation with the Chief Justice of the Trial Court, for reasons of public health and safety may order that a court division or location conduct all business virtually and/or may transfer some or all in-person matters to specified courts within the department. If any such action is taken, the applicable Trial Court department shall post notices to the COVID-19 webpage (see paragraph 16) that provide clear guidance to the public and members of the bar.

4. Who can enter courthouses. Entry into a courthouse is limited to personnel who work in the courthouse and persons who are present for one or more of the following purposes: attending in-person court proceedings (see paragraph 5); conducting in-person business with a clerk's, register's, or recorder's office (see paragraph 6); reporting for jury service (see paragraphs 9 and 13); meeting with a probation officer or probation staff person; or conducting business at other offices that are open to the public and housed in the courthouse.

All court users and personnel shall be subject to appropriate screening before they are allowed to enter a courthouse for purposes of preventing the spread of COVID-19, as more fully addressed in the Third Order Regarding Access to State Courthouses & Court Facilities, which was issued on July 29, 2020, and became effective on August 3, 2020, and any amendments to or successors of that Order that may be issued.

For purposes of preventing the spread of COVID-19, courthouse staff may monitor the number of people entering and leaving a courthouse to ensure that the number within the courthouse does not exceed the occupancy limits established to protect public health and permit physical distancing. To limit the number of people in a courthouse at any given time, all departments and offices within a courthouse shall coordinate with each other and schedule proceedings in a staggered fashion throughout the day. If the number of court users entering a courthouse needs to be limited to avoid exceeding occupancy limits, the following court users shall be given priority to enter, in the following order of priority: (i) persons seeking to address emergencies; (ii) persons participating in a scheduled in-person proceeding, including, without limitation, a trial, jury service or empanelment, grand jury sitting, hearing, or conference; (iii) persons with scheduled or otherwise required meetings between probationers and probation officers or staff for purposes of supervision, including but not limited to GPS, DNA or case supervision matters; (iv) persons having a scheduled appointment within the courthouse; and, then, (v) all others.

5. Physical presence in a courtroom. Court personnel, attorneys, parties, potential or empaneled trial or grand jurors, witnesses, and other necessary persons as determined by the presiding judge can be physically present in a courtroom for in-person proceedings. The presiding judge shall also determine the method by which members of the public, including the "news media" as defined in Supreme Judicial Court Rule 1:19(2), may access the proceeding, which may include allowing them to sit in the courtroom, provided there is sufficient space for them to maintain appropriate physical distance. Where a virtual hearing is scheduled, no one other than court personnel may be physically present in the courtroom during the virtual hearing without the approval of the judge or clerk-magistrate conducting the hearing. In the absence of exceptional circumstances, as determined by the judge or clerk-magistrate conducting the

hearing, no party (or attorney for a party) may be physically present in the courtroom for a scheduled virtual hearing. Where an in-person hearing is scheduled, a party may move that the hearing be conducted virtually, and the judge or clerk-magistrate scheduled to preside at the hearing will rule on the motion. Alternatively, a judge, upon request, may authorize a participant (an attorney, party, or witness) to appear virtually while other participants appear in person, so long as it is consistent with the protection of constitutional rights. A participant who requests to appear virtually for an otherwise in-person proceeding shall have no grounds to object to other participants appearing in person.

6. Clerks', Registers', and Recorder's Offices. All court clerks', registers', and recorder's offices will be physically open to the public to conduct court business. To continue to limit the number of persons entering courthouses, clerks', registers', and recorder's offices will still endeavor to conduct business virtually to the extent possible. Clerks', registers', and recorder's offices may provide a drop-box in a secure and accessible location at the courthouse for the benefit of those persons who wish to hand-deliver pleadings or other documents for filing. Each Trial Court department shall provide department-wide guidance on the COVID-19 webpage (see paragraph 16) as to how, in addition to by mail and, when available, electronic filing, pleadings and other documents can be filed without coming to the office of a court clerk, register, or recorder. Each clerk, register, or recorder is authorized to require the physical presence of such staff as may be necessary to address court business, provided that any increase in staff presence will be conducted in accordance with health and safety protocols established by the Trial Court or the relevant appellate court.

7. Excluded matters. If a Trial Court department determines that it is not practicable to address certain categories of non-emergency matters virtually or in person in view of (a) limited court staffing, (b) technological constraints, (c) the need to prioritize emergency or other matters, or (d) legal constraints, such as any State or Federal moratoriums on evictions or foreclosures, it shall post notices to the COVID-19 webpage (see paragraph 16) that provide clear department-wide guidance to the public and members of the bar identifying any categories of non-emergency matters that the department will not be addressing.

8. Cell phones and other personal electronic devices in courthouses. Because of the increased reliance during the pandemic on cell phones and other personal electronic devices (PEDs)¹ to communicate with courts and facilitate court proceedings, cell phones and other PEDs shall not be banned from any courthouse. Cell phones and other PEDs must be used in compliance with the rules set forth in Trial Court Emergency Administrative Order 20-10 (Order Concerning Trial Court Policy on Possession & Use of Cameras & Personal Electronic Devices), which was issued on June 24, 2020, became effective on July 13, 2020, and is posted on the COVID-19 webpage (see paragraph 16) and at the entrance to each courthouse.

9. Jury Trials. No jury trials, in either criminal or civil cases, shall be conducted in Massachusetts state courts until on or after October 23, 2020, at which time courts shall resume

¹ A "personal electronic device" or "PED" is any device capable of communicating, transmitting, receiving, or recording messages, images, sounds, data, or other information by any means, including but not limited to a computer, tablet, cell phone, camera, or Bluetooth device.

in-person trials on a limited basis, in general accordance with the recommendations for Phase 1 contained in the report issued by the Jury Management Advisory Committee (JMAC) on July 31, 2020,² as clarified by the memorandum issued by the JMAC on September 1, 2020.³ As recommended by the JMAC, Phase 1 will be limited to trials to juries of six (with alternates) conducted in a small number of locations, with no more than one trial at a time conducted in each location. As recognized by the JMAC, the resumption of jury trials will require close consultation and coordination among Trial Court Departments throughout the process, including in evaluating and selecting appropriate locations for trials. As further recognized by the JMAC, scheduling trials will be a collaborative process involving court leaders in each location and department, bar leaders, and counsel in each case. Ultimately, the case types and specific cases that will be tried to juries during Phase 1, as well as the locations thereof, shall be determined by the Chief Justice of the applicable Trial Court department, in consultation with the Chief Justice of the Trial Court.

The following provisions shall apply to trials conducted during Phase 1, notwithstanding any rule to the contrary:

(a) civil cases in the Superior Court and Housing Court that typically would be tried to juries of twelve, except sexually dangerous person cases under G.L. c. 123A, shall be tried to juries of six and each party will be limited to four peremptory challenges, regardless of whether additional jurors are empaneled;

(b) criminal cases in the Superior Court and youthful offender cases in Juvenile Court that typically would be tried to juries of twelve may be tried to juries of six only with the consent of the defendant(s) or juvenile(s), in which case each defendant or juvenile will be limited to four peremptory challenges and the Commonwealth to as many challenges as equal the whole number to which all the defendants or juveniles in the case are entitled, regardless of whether additional jurors are empaneled;

(c) sexually dangerous person cases under G.L. c. 123A that typically would be tried to juries of twelve may be tried to juries of six only with the consent of all parties, in which case each party will be limited to four peremptory challenges, regardless of whether additional jurors are empaneled;⁴

² Report and Recommendations to the Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court on the Resumption of Jury Trials in the Context of the COVID-19 Pandemic.

³ Response to Public Comments on the Report of the Jury Management Advisory Committee to the Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court on the Resumption of Jury Trials in the Context of the COVID-19 Pandemic.

⁴ See G.L. c. 123A, § 9 (petitioner or Commonwealth may demand jury trial) and § 14 (person named in petition or petitioning party may demand jury trial).

(d) in civil cases in the District Court and Boston Municipal Court, each party will be limited to two peremptory challenges, regardless of whether additional jurors are empaneled; and

(e) in criminal cases in the District Court and Boston Municipal Court and delinquency cases in the Juvenile Court, each defendant or juvenile will be limited to two peremptory challenges and the Commonwealth to as many challenges as equal the whole number to which all the defendants or juveniles in the case are entitled.

The SJC shall issue direction regarding the second phase of the resumption of jury trials (Phase 2) after reviewing the JMAC's evaluation of Phase 1 as described in the JMAC's report. In order to prepare for Phase 2, however, cases to be tried in Phase 2 in accordance with the JMAC's recommendations may be scheduled in anticipation of Phase 2 commencing in February 2021, with such jury trial dates subject to revision after the SJC's review of the JMAC's evaluation of Phase 1.

As recommended by the JMAC, the Jury Commissioner is hereby authorized, until further order of the SJC, to exercise discretion to excuse persons summoned for trial or grand jury duty upon request based on an identified vulnerability of the potential juror or a household member to COVID-19, or other circumstances related to COVID-19.

All plans and expectations regarding the resumption of jury trials may be adjusted if there is a significant change in the rate of COVID-19 transmission in the Commonwealth.

10. Continuances and Speedy Trial Computations. Pursuant to Prior SJC Orders,⁵ all jury trials scheduled to commence in Massachusetts state courts at any time from March 14, 2020, through September 4, 2020, were continued to a date no earlier than September 8, 2020. As the number of jury trials conducted during Phase 1 necessarily will be greatly limited due to the measures to be taken to reduce the risk of the spread of COVID-19, this court concludes that, except as otherwise provided in this paragraph, it is necessary and appropriate to hereby order that all jury trials in all cases in Massachusetts state courts are further continued from September 5, 2020, until a date no earlier than the date of the commencement of Phase 2. Regarding the cases scheduled for trial during Phase 1 (see paragraph 9), the further general continuance effectuated by this Order shall apply until the scheduled date for the trial. The continuances occasioned by this Order and the Prior SJC Orders serve the ends of justice and outweigh the best interests of the public and criminal defendants in a speedy trial. Therefore, the time periods

⁵ “Prior SJC Orders” means the March 13, 2020 Order Regarding Empanelment Of Juries, the March 17, 2020 Order Limiting In-Person Appearances In State Courthouses To Emergency Matters That Cannot Be Resolved Through A Videoconference Or Telephonic Hearing, and the prior Orders Regarding Court Operations Under The Exigent Circumstances Created By The COVID-19 (Coronavirus) Pandemic issued on April 1, 2020 (effective April 6, 2020), April 27, 2020 (updated order effective May 4, 2020), May 26, 2020 (second updated order effective June 1, 2020), and June 24, 2020 (third updated order effective July 1, 2020).

of such continuances shall be excluded from speedy trial computations under Mass. R. Crim. P. 36.⁶

11. Bench trials. Judges in Trial Court departments shall continue to schedule criminal and civil bench trials. Criminal bench trials shall be conducted in person, unless the parties and trial judge all agree to conduct the trial virtually. Civil bench trials may be conducted virtually in the discretion of the trial judge. In-person bench trials may be conducted only if bench trials are identified by the applicable Trial Court department as among the categories of matters that it will address in person, pursuant to paragraph 3. In any event, priority should be given to scheduling bench trials in criminal cases where the defendant is in custody, with the highest priority given to those defendants who have been in custody the longest.

12. Application for conference. A party who has had a trial or other non-emergency hearing postponed as a result of this Order or the Prior SJC Orders may apply for a conference with the court where the trial or other non-emergency hearing was to occur to address matters arising from the postponement. In criminal cases, where appropriate, a defendant may ask the court for reconsideration of bail or conditions of release. Nothing in this Order addresses the disposition of such requests for reconsideration.

13. Grand jury. No new grand jury shall be empaneled without the approval of the Superior Court Regional Administrative Justice (RAJ) who, after consultation with the Chief Justice of the Superior Court, shall set such conditions as may be necessary to minimize risk to members of the grand jury, court personnel, and witnesses. The RAJ or the Chief Justice of the Superior Court may consult with the Jury Commissioner regarding such conditions. As permitted by Rule 5 of the Massachusetts Rules of Criminal Procedure, which provides that "the court shall select not more than twenty-three grand jurors to serve," a grand jury of fewer than 23 grand jurors may be empaneled. Regardless of the number empaneled, a grand jury may sit only where there is a quorum of at least thirteen grand jurors, and may return an indictment only if at least twelve of the sitting grand jurors vote to indict.

14. Statutes of limitation. All criminal statutes of limitation are tolled from March 17, 2020, through October 23, 2020, because of the limited availability of grand juries. The new date for the expiration of a statute of limitation is calculated as follows: determine how many days remained as of March 17, 2020, until the statute of limitation would have expired, and that

⁶ "Ordinarily, it is a trial judge who orders a continuance, who determines whether the delay will be excluded from the speedy trial computation, and who makes the required findings under rule 36 (b) (2) (F). But here, immediate and uniform action across the entire court system was needed to prevent the spread of the coronavirus and to avoid the inefficiencies and inconsistencies that would have resulted if trial judges had to make a separate decision and findings in each case as to whether a trial should be continued due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It was therefore necessary and appropriate for this court to order that all trials be continued, to determine that the resulting delay should be excluded from the speedy trial computation, and to make the required findings applicable to all cases." *Commonwealth v. Lougee*, 485 Mass. 70, 72 (2020).

same number of days will remain as of October 24, 2020. For example, if twenty (20) days remained as of March 17 before the statute of limitation would have expired, then twenty (20) days will continue to remain as of October 24, before the statute of limitation expires (i.e., November 13).⁷

15. Expiring injunctions and similar orders. Unless otherwise ordered by the applicable court, all orders in a particular case that were issued prior to March 17, 2020, after an adversarial hearing (or the opportunity for an adversarial hearing), that enjoined or otherwise restrained or prohibited a party from taking some act or engaging in some conduct until a date at any time from March 17, 2020, through August 31, 2020, shall remain in effect until the matter is rescheduled and heard on a date on or before October 13, 2020. To the extent they are not already doing so, Trial Court departments shall reschedule and hear these matters virtually, whenever practicable, or in person, pursuant to paragraph 3 above. Orders issued on or after March 17, 2020, after a virtual or in-person adversarial hearing (or the opportunity for an adversarial hearing), may issue for the full period allowed by the applicable statute.

16. Publication of COVID-19 orders. All orders, standing orders, guidelines, and notices issued by any court department or appellate court in response to this Order or the pandemic, as well as all amendments, modifications, and supplements thereto, or the equivalent, shall be posted upon issuance on the judiciary's COVID-19 webpage. Links to each document may be found on that webpage.

[end of page]

⁷ Prior SJC Orders provided for the tolling of (1) civil statutes of limitation from March 17, 2020, through June 30, 2020, (2) deadlines set forth in statutes or court rules, standing orders, tracking orders, or guidelines, that expired at any time from March 17, 2020, through June 30, 2020, and (3) deadlines established by a court in a particular case prior to March 17, 2020, that expired at any time from March 17, 2020, through June 30, 2020. The new deadline or new date for the expiration of the statute of limitation, as applicable, is calculated as follows: determine how many days remained as of March 17, 2020, until the original deadline would have been reached or the statute of limitation would have expired, and that same number of days remained as of July 1, 2020, until the new deadline is (or was) reached or the statute of limitation expires (or expired). For example, if fourteen (14) days remained as of March 17 before the original deadline would have been reached or the statute of limitation would have expired, then fourteen (14) days continued to remain as of July 1, before the new deadline was reached or the statute of limitation expired (i.e., July 15).

17. The SJC may issue further Orders as necessary to address the circumstances arising from this pandemic.

This Order is effective on September 17, 2020, and shall remain in effect until further order of the court.

RALPH D. GANTS)
) Chief Justice⁸
)
BARBARA A. LENK)
) Justices
)
FRANK M. GAZIANO)
)
)
DAVID A. LOWY)
)
)
KIMBERLY S. BUDD)
)
)
ELSPETH B. CYPHER)
)
)
SCOTT L. KAFKER)

Entered: September 17, 2020
Effective: September 17, 2020

⁸ Chief Justice Gants approved this order prior to his death.